

Adjourned September Monthly Meeting to deal solely with the Chief Executives Report on proposed Material Amendments to the Draft County Development Plan -28th September 2021

Minutes of the Meeting of Louth County Council held via MS Teams, hosted from the Chamber, Co. Hall, Dundalk on Tuesday 28th September at 6.30 p.m.

In attendance: (P) Present in Chamber. (R) Remote Attendance

Presiding:

Councillor Pio Smith, Cathaoirleach (P)

Members: As per attendance log

Councillors: *Marianne Butler, Paula Butterly, James Byrne, Joanna Byrne, Kevin Callan, Emma Coffey, Bernie Conlon, Edel Corrigan, Tom Cunningham, Maria Doyle, Michelle Hall, Conor Keelan, Seán Kelly, Fiachra MacRaghnaill, Pearse McGeough, Andrea McKeivitt, Paddy McQuillan, Kevin Meenan, Dolores Minogue, Declan Power, John Reilly, Liam Reilly, Tomás Sharkey, John Sheridan, Pio Smith, Jim Tenanty, Antoin Watters, Maeve Yore*

All Councillors attended remotely

Apologies: As per attendance log

Councillors: *Eileen Tully*

Officials:

Chief Executive: Joan Martin, Chief Executive (P)

Directors of Service: Frank Pentony (P), Joe McGuinness (R), Bernie Woods (R)

Meetings Administrator: Gráinne Tuomey (P)

Senior Planner: Joanna Kelly (P)

Senior Executive Planner: Turlough King (P)

Senior Executive Planner: Marguerite Quinn (R)

Executive Planner: Gerard Brennan (R)

Assistant Planner: Ciara Doran (R)

Senior Staff Officer: Clare O'Hagan (R)

Staff Officer: Emma Eaton (R)

The Cathaoirleach Pio Smith welcomed Members back to the Meeting to consider the Chief Executive's Report on Submissions Received to the Proposed Material Alterations on the Draft Louth Co. Dev. Plan. The Cathaoirleach then requested the Meeting's Administrator go through the protocols for meetings and carry out a roll call.

Gráinne Tuomey, Meeting Administrator outlined the procedures for remote meeting participation, in line with the Council's adopted Standing Orders and reminded Members on their Statutory Obligations

concerning Ethical Framework, Disclosure at Meetings, Disclosure in Advance of Meetings and the Code of Conduct for Councillors.

Members were reminded of the need to have a quorum of 9 Members present at all times and an attendance roll call was held.

Senior Planner Joanna Kelly reminded members that they were here purely to attend to the report at hand. Councillors were again advised they are restricted to considering the proper planning and sustainable development of the area to which the development plan relates, and when submitting reasons.

The Chief Executive Joan Martin cautioned Members that they were bound by the Core Strategy and they only consider Material Alterations, and they do not have any right to go into other parts of the plan. She further confirmed that any reasons given by Councillors will be minuted and would be provided to the OPR where proposals were at variance to the OPR's recommendations.

Both the Senior Planner and Chief Executive advised at length around Councillor responsibilities and legislation before commencing discussion around Material Alteration 057 Recommendation 4 submitted by the Office of the Planning Regulator which was deferred from the previous meeting.

Submission No. LCDP MA 057 Submitted by: Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR)

MA Recommendation 4 – Proposed Amendments to Zoning Objectives

Dundalk – Material Alteration no. DLK 3

Dundalk – Material Alteration no. DLK 7

Dundalk – Material Alteration no. DLK 22

CE REPORT PAGE no. 23 – 26

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration to DLK 3 was:

Proposed by: Cllr. Emma Coffey

Seconded by: Cllr. Conor Keelan

Proposal: CHANGE ORDER OF PRIORITY FOR A PORTION OF LANDS PROPOSED FOR 'RESIDENTIAL A3 – PHASE 2' to 'RESIDENTIAL A2 –PHASE 1'

Reasons -

The lands have a planning permission grant for 582 residential units, recreation & amenity space (including a 4 acre public park), a site for a crèche, a village centre, and a school (3 acres reserved site) which all form part of master plan that was drawn up in conjunction with the Council

Given there is an extant planning in place we are of the view that all the lands should have been zoned A2 Phase 1 in the initial draft of the plan to avoid an inherent conflict between the underlying zoning, the extant planning permission and the proposed development the applicant intends to carry out on the site during the life of the 2021-2027 plan.

In terms of the build out status, the site is "shovel ready" and ready to go.

The development will be a mix of both private and public housing. Engagement with the housing associations has already taken place with a view to providing them with a significant portion of new homes to serve the public housing needs.

The current planning is set to lapse in November 2024 leaving less than three and a half years from going on site. Even if 70/80 dwellings were constructed and sold every year it would take 7/8 years to successfully complete the entire scheme as per the agreed master plan.

As put forward in the proposed material alteration, the A3 zoning which is being proposed on 83% of the lands inhibits the ability to complete out the scheme as per the master plan and effectively risks the development and master plan for the lands "hung" when the current planning lapses in November 2024, due to the inability to submit new or revised planning applications or seek a planning extension.

In a scheme of this scale it would be normal for minor modifications to take place during the build out phase that would require planning submissions to effect these changes e.g. an amend to a house type however this might not be possible on those parts of the lands affected by the A3 zoning

In addition the majority of the community facilities are located on the part of the site proposed to be zoned A3 which risks their development and delivery. These are a critical element of the overall master plan.

The proposed A3 zoning significantly shortens the potential timeframe to deliver the holistic development and has caused serious complications for my Client in partnering with a housebuilder to develop out the scheme which is putting the delivery of the entire development in at risk.

Members were cautioned by the Chief Executive that any additions to phase 1 will suggest immediate availability for development, adding numbers onto Core strategy figures.

A roll call vote was held on Cllr Coffey's motion as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula				1
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy			1	

MEENAN, Kevin				1
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio		1		
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve			1	
Totals	22	2	2	3

And Councillor Coffey's Recommendation was agreed by Elected Members.

Submission No. LCDP MA 057 Submitted by: Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR)

MA Recommendation 4 – Proposed Amendments to Zoning Objectives

Dundalk – Material Alteration no. DLK 7

CE REPORT PAGE no. 23 – 26

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion vote on the following alteration to DLK 7 was:

Proposed by: Cllr. Maeve Yore

Seconded by: Cllr. Andrea McKeivitt

And submitted as follows:

“reasons to reject CE recommendation to proposed Material Alteration no. DLK no. 7 - Submission no. DR329 Rath/Ballybarrack Martin Hegarty/Len Mullins of County Development Plan and include lands as A2 Phase 1

The applicants state their submission adheres to OPR themes: compact growth from the inside out, Transport and accessibility within walking distance to town centre and 1km to train station and road networks, climate action well above sea level and infill location and flood risk management no flood risk or zone”

“The following are some of the main reasons why our sites should be zoned residential.

- The sites are close to the core of Dundalk which is a major population growth area in the urban area and close to all services.
- The sites are approximately one kilometer from the Railway Station.
- It would assist in ensuring that Dundalk is developed from the inside out as has been the logical way that the town has expanded over the years.
- It is well above sea level thus will not be affected by the problem of rising water due to climate change.
- There is considerable development already in this area and is surrounded by a very good road service."

A roll call vote was held on Cllr. Yore's proposal as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin				1
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1

WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	25	2	0	2

And Councillor Coffey's Recommendation was agreed by Elected Members.

Submission No. LCDP MA 057 Submitted by: Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR)

MA Recommendation 4 – Proposed Amendments to Zoning Objectives

Dundalk – Material Alteration no. DLK 22

CE REPORT PAGE no. 23 – 26

To accept the CE recommendation

Members were requested to vote on the Chief Executive Recommendation on DLK 22 and a roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin				1
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			

TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	27	0	0	2

And the Chief Executive Recommendation was agreed.

Submission No. LCDP MA 057 Submitted by: Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR)

MA Recommendation 4 – Proposed Amendments to Zoning Objectives

• Ardee – Material Alteration no. ARD 3

CE REPORT PAGE no. 23 – 26

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration to ARD 3 was:

Proposed by: Cllr. Jim Tenanty

Seconded by: Cllr. Dolores Minogue

And submitted as follows:

“In response to Material Alterations and CE recommendation - Reasons to ARD3 to remain in plan:

- 1 *The lands are just outside the settlement boundary and we believe Boundary is outdated and dates back to old electorate Commissioners.*
- 2 *Flood zone A and B do not affect this site I grew up in the area and it was never known locally to ever flood ,C fram have identified within the settlement as a risk further down.*
- 3 *Land is fully serviced and public footpath is linked to town centre.*
- 4 *Sequentially it's the next piece of land available and it joins to the amenities eg St Mary's GAA and Lidl superstore.*
- 5 *This site would allow small development as oppose to one off housing*
- 6 *Site is age friendly and disabled access is addressed in potential plan for site.*
- 7 *Its also accessible to the road network.”*

A roll call vote was held on Cllr. Tenanty's submission as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna		1		
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel		1		
CUNNINGHAM, Tom		1		

DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse		1		
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin		1		
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás		1		
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin		1		
YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	19	9	0	1

And Councillor Tenanty's Recommendation was agreed by Elected Members.

Submission No. LCDP MA 057 Submitted by: Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR)

MA Recommendation 4 – Proposed Amendments to Zoning Objectives

• Carlingford – Material Alteration no. L3-2

CE REPORT PAGE no. 23 – 26

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration to Carlingford L3-2 was:

Proposed by: Cllr. Antóin Watters

Seconded by: Cllr. Andrea McKeivitt

And submitted as follows:

"In support of Carlingford- Material Alteration No. 3-2.

- *Council had advised to apply to extend the boundary at Development plan stage.*
- *Infrastructure is in place and close to local amenities adjacent to the village*
- *Enhancing the existing settlement by reducing the number of one off rural houses at the periphery of the settlement.*
- *Small area of land that will not affect core strategy numbers*

- *Settlement already has sporadic boundary. New sites are not adversely affecting same. Adjacent to the family dwelling*
- *Landowners have a genuine local housing need and can help sustain growth in the settlement. Also will be close to the family home to provide care for parents in elderly life. One parent currently undergoing Cancer treatment.*
- *No flooding issues on any of the land included.*
- *Stagnant land in the settlement has not been developed. Lands proposed will have pending planning applications.”*

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner cautioned that the Draft Plan had never supported the inclusion of these lands. A roll call vote was held on Cllr. Watter’s submission as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			

Totals	26	2	0	1
---------------	----	---	---	---

And Councillor Watters Recommendation was agreed by Elected Members.

Submission No. LCDP MA 057 Submitted by: Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR)

MA Recommendation 4 – Proposed Amendments to Zoning Objectives

• Glenmore – Material Alteration no. L5-5

CE REPORT PAGE no. 23 – 26

To accept the CE recommendation

*Cllr Antóin Watters declared an interest and left the meeting

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration to Glenmore L5-5 was:

Proposed by: Cllr. Andrea McKeivitt

Seconded by: Cllr. Seán Kelly

And submitted as follows:

‘the reasons for the inclusion of lands at Glenmore L5-5

As per the discussion in the draft plan for these lands in Glenmore, these lands were included on the following basis:

The land is the only land owned by the family of Darren McCann, who was refused planning on this site before given its proximity to the development centre of Glenmore, hence the request for its inclusion in the settlement boundary.

The site would be used to build a modest house for an individual who has health complications, giving him the opportunity to live independently while still being located close to his family home.’

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner again reminded Members that reasons must be based on proper Planning & Development and in the interest of the common good,

A roll call vote was held on the submission made by Cllr. McKeivitt as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna				1
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria		1		
HALL, Michelle		1		
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			

Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio		1		
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin				1
YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	20	6	0	3

And Councillor McKeivitts Recommendation was agreed by Elected Members.

*Cllr Antóin Watters was readmitted into the meeting

Submission No. LCDP MA 057 Submitted by: Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR)

MA Recommendation 4 – Proposed Amendments to Zoning Objectives

Lordship – Material Alteration no's L5-6, L5-7, L5-8, and L5-9

CE REPORT PAGE no. 23 – 26

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration to Lordship L5-6, L5-8 and L5-9 was:

Proposed by: Cllr. Antóin Watters

Seconded by: Cllr. John Reilly

And submitted as follows:

In support of Lordship- Material Alterations No's L5-6, L5-8 and L5-9. Please note I proposed the above lands only and I would support it with the following planning reasons:

- *Enhancing the existing settlement by reducing the number of one off rural houses at the periphery of the settlement.*
- *Settlement already has sporadic boundary. New sites are not adversely affecting same*
- *Landowners have a genuine local housing need and can help sustain growth in the settlement. Also will be close to the family home to provide care for parents in elderly life*
- *No flooding issues on any of the land included.*

- *Stagnant land in the settlement has not been developed. Lands proposed will have pending planning applications.*
- *Local amenities adjacent to all parcels of land including the National School and GAA club. Will help support and sustain the local Community.*

A roll call vote was held on the proposal made by Cllr. Watters and to accept the CE Recommendation on L5-7 as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie			1	
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle			1	
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	23	3	2	1

And these were accepted by Councillors.

Submission No. LCDP MA 057 Submitted by: Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR)

MA Recommendation 4 – Proposed Amendments to Zoning Objectives

• Sandpit – Material Alteration no's L5-12 and L5-13

CE REPORT PAGE no. 23 – 26

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on an alteration to Sandpit L5-12, and L5-13 was:

Proposed by: Cllr. Declan Power

Seconded by: Cllr. Kevin Callan

And submitted as follows:

Sandpit - Material Alteration no.s L5-12 and L5-13

Reasons for rejecting Chief Executives Recommendations

1. Planning permission has been established under a series of planning permissions for 12 houses and site development works for this particular area.

The site however has a history of flooding which is evident from submissions received and from the content of the planning history files from 2006 to 2019.

2. Permission for the above 12 houses was refused on the grounds of flooding risks and public health issues.

3. More recent planning application in 2018 reduced previous submissions by 50 % to 6 houses. This was once again refused planning in February 2019 due to flooding and public health issues.

4. A significant portion of this site is identified as being vulnerable to pluvial flooding in the OPW Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment Maps.

In November 2014 an extreme weather event took place in this area. This site was engulfed in flood waters that was witnessed by many local residents and surrounding districts. Residents, local businesses, and the local school experienced property flooding. During this period the Sandpit Road was submerged and impassable.

5. During extreme weather events, the development site performs as a flood basin by providing storage for excess water until it gradually re-enters back into the river system or infiltrates into the ground as the weather subsides, thereby reducing pressure on the downstream drainage network.

6. The prescribed Planning System and Flood Risk Management – Guidelines for Planning Authorities published in November 2009, that the OPR refers to in MA Recommendation 4 of the OPR submission, is in my opinion, is out dated as it does not reflect the extreme weather shift brought on by flooding in 2014 and subsequent heavy rainfalls. However the Planning System and Flood Risk Management Management – Guidelines for Planning Authorities makes it very clear that Local Authorities will ensure that development is not permitted in areas of flood risk, particularly floodplains making this site and land vulnerable to flooding.

7. The Chief Executive's recommendation MA Vol 5-5: Justification Tests IU XX should apply to the Sandpit area.

'Where a portion of a site is at a risk of flooding, the lands at risk will be subject to the sequential approach to ensure first and foremost that new developments is directed towards lands at low risk of flooding; and to restrict the type of developments to that appropriate to each flood zone in accordance with tables 3.1 and 3.2 of the Flood Management Guidelines.

But an amendment to Cllr Power's motion was proposed. **Proposed by:** Cllr. Michelle Hall

Seconded by: Cllr. Pio Smith

And submitted as follows:

Sandpit - Material Alteration no.s L5-12 and L5-13

I respectfully request that lands removed from Sandpit be reinstated in the County Development Plan as recommended, and lands rezoned also to remain in the plan.

Reasons for keeping lands owned by Lorrac Developments Ltd, Thomas Meegan & Rita Meegan, Imelda McGuinness and Clare McGovern in relation to lands at Sandpit, Co. Louth

- A consultant engineer has engaged on the owners' behalf on numerous occasions with Louth County Council (Frank Magee, Brian Braniff and Patricia Hughes) regarding the preparation of a new planning application for the subject site. The scope of the flood modelling that has been prepared has been extended and an approach has been developed and the engineering section of the council agree that it is possible to effectively deal with the historical flood issues*

Reasons for keeping lands owned by Jimmy Kirwan.

- There is no need to remove land from a Level 5 settlement boundary in order to add an additional portion of land. Inclusion within a Level 5 boundary is not the same as A2 zoning which would have core strategy and phasing implications. Lands inside a L5 settlement (rural node) and outside it (rural countryside) dip into the same unit allocation from a core strategy point of view.*
- This land is 180 metres from the village centre, the church, creche, shop and school.*
- If the lands owned by Lorrac Developments are refused planning permission again, that will result in no housing developments potentially having been built for almost thirty years, as it has been twenty years since the construction of the last housing development.*
- Sandpit is the only rural node that is being extended in South Louth and the core strategy should reflect rural housing in this area, not just Mid or North Louth.*
- It is more sustainable to extend rural nodes and if this is not allowed happen, then it may result in more one-off housing in the open countryside which is contra to climate mitigation factors.*
- Sandpit is a rural node close to the self-sustaining town of Termonfeckin which has improved public transport links to both the key towns of Drogheda and Dundalk, and only 10kms from Drogheda, making it an attractive place to live.*

And a roll call vote was held on Cllr Hall's motion as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna		1		
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			

CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel		1		
CUNNINGHAM, Tom		1		
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse		1		
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy		1		
MEENAN, Kevin		1		
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan		1		
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás		1		
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim		1		
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin		1		
YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	16	12	0	1

And Councillor Hall's Recommendation was agreed by Elected Members.

Members were then directed to page 52 of the Chief Executive Report and to resume consideration of submissions by other prescribed bodies.

Submission No. LCDP MA021 Submitted by: Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII)

1. Material Alterations 44 and 46 - page 52
2. Material Alteration 96 - page 53
3. Material Alteration 90 - page 54
4. Material Alterations DLK 8, 174, Proposed Master Plan 7, New Spot Objective 3 - page 54
5. Material Alteration 179 - page 55
6. Master Plans – page 56
7. Other Issues - page 57

To accept the CE recommendation on all items 1 - 7

It was clarified for members that TII are a statutory consultee and the Senior Planner Joanna Kelly suggested that all issues raised by TII be taken together, unless there were any objections. As there were no objections a roll call vote on the CE Recommendations on all TII items was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	28	0	0	1

And the Chief Executive Recommendations were agreed.

Submission No. LCDP MA004 Submitted by: Irish Water
 CE REPORT PAGE no. 58
 To accept the CE recommendation

As there was no recommendation made by Irish Water and therefore no change to the draft Plan, Members were not required to vote on this item.

Submission No. LCDP MA058 Submitted by: DAU, Dept. of Housing, Local Government and Heritage

CE REPORT PAGE no. 59 - 61

To accept the CE recommendation

Members voted on the CE Recommendation as per text on page 60 and 61 of the Report as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	28	0	0	1

And the Chief Executive Recommendation was agreed.

The Senior Planner then referred to submissions by:

- The Department of Education
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Meath County Council
- ESB
- Dept. of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs for Northern Ireland (DAERA)
- Geological Survey of Ireland
- Wind Energy Ireland (WEI)

And advised as follows:

Submission No. LCDP MA061 Submitted by: Department of Education

CE REPORT PAGE no. 62

To accept the CE recommendation

As there was no proposed amendments to the Material Alteration suggested by the Department of Education, there was therefore no change to the draft Plan.

Submission No. LCDP MA005 Submitted by: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

CE REPORT PAGE no. 63

To accept the CE recommendation

As there was no Material Alteration suggested by the EPA there was therefore no change to the draft Plan.

Submission No. LCDP MA054 Submitted by: Meath County Council

CE REPORT PAGE no. 64

To accept the CE recommendation

Meath County Council sought to include the wording "in conjunction with Meath County Council" in MA 76 to ensure it marries with policy in their plan. Recommendation was to change text as per p. 64 of the CE report. The change has no material effect to the draft Plan.

Submission No. LCDP MA056 Submitted by: ESB

CE REPORT PAGE no. 65

To accept the CE recommendation

As there was no specific comments on any Material Alteration suggested by the ESB there was therefore no change to the draft Plan.

Submission No. LCDP MA039 Submitted by: Dept. of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs for Northern Ireland (DAERA)

CE REPORT PAGE no. 66 - 67

To accept the CE recommendation

Issues raised do not relate to Material Alterations. there was therefore no change to the draft Plan.

Submission No. LCDP MA001 Submitted by: Geological Survey of Ireland (GSI)

CE REPORT PAGE no. 68

To accept the CE recommendation

There is no specific comments regarding Material Alterations suggested by the GSI there was therefore no change to the draft Plan.

Submission No. LCDP MA063 Submitted by: Wind Energy Ireland (WEI)

CE REPORT PAGE no. 69

To accept the CE recommendation

This submission questions MA 137 which sees the omission of text which highlights targets set out in the National renewable Energy Action Plan and the National Energy Efficiency Action plan. OPR MA 9 also referred to this issue. The CE recommendation is for no change.

A proposal to take all the aforementioned prescribed body submissions together and vote to accept the CE Recommendations:

Proposed by: Cllr. Fiachra Mac Raghnaill

Seconded by: Cllr. Kevin Callan

And a roll call vote was held as follows:


	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne				1
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea				1
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			

YORE, Maeve	1			
Totals	26	0	0	3

And the Chief Executive Recommendations on all items were agreed.

As it was after 8.30p.m., it was agreed to resume the meeting at 5p.m. on Thursday 30th September.

Meeting concluded at 8.44p.m.

Signed: 
LEAS CATHAOIRLEACH

Date: 18/10/2021