

**Adjourned September Monthly Meeting to deal solely with the Chief Executives Report on proposed Material Amendments to the Draft County Development Plan - 30<sup>th</sup> September 2021**

Minutes of the Meeting of Louth County Council held via MS Teams, hosted from the Chamber, Co. Hall, Dundalk on Thursday 30<sup>th</sup> September at 5pm.

**In attendance: (P) Present in Chamber. (R ) Remote Attendance**

**Presiding:**

Councillor Pio Smith, Cathaoirleach (P)

**Members: As per attendance log**

Councillors: *Marianne Butler, Paula Butterly, James Byrne, Joanna Byrne, Kevin Callan, Emma Coffey, Bernie Conlon, Edel Corrigan, Tom Cunningham, Maria Doyle, Michelle Hall, Conor Keelan, Seán Kelly, Fiachra MacRaghnaill, Pearse McGeough, Andrea McKeivitt, Paddy McQuillan, Kevin Meenan, Dolores Minogue, Declan Power, John Reilly, Liam Reilly, Tomás Sharkey, John Sheridan, Pio Smith, Jim Tenanty, Antoin Watters, Maeve Yore*

**All Councillors attended remotely**

**Apologies: As per attendance log**

Councillors: *Eileen Tully*

**Officials:**

**Chief Executive:** Joan Martin, Chief Executive (P)

**Directors of Service:** Frank Pentony (P), Joe McGuinness (R), Bernie Woods (R)

**Meetings Administrator:** Gráinne Tuomey (P)

**Senior Planner:** Joanna Kelly (P)

**Senior Executive Planner:** Turlough King (P)

**Senior Executive Planner:** Marguerite Quinn (R)

**Executive Planner:** Gerard Brennan

**Assistant Planner:** Ciara Doran (R)

**Senior Staff Officer:** Clare O'Hagan (R)

**Staff Officer:** Emma Eaton

The Cathaoirleach Pio Smith welcomed Members back to the Meeting to consider the Chief Executive's Report on Submissions Received to the Proposed Material Alterations on the Draft Louth Co. Dev. Plan. Referring to an email sent by Director of Services Joe McGuinness to Members, the Cathaoirleach read the wording out as follows:

1. It is the members' responsibility to decide whether they have a conflict.

2. Once they have decided that they have a conflict, they must advise the chair that they have a conflict **and what the conflict is**. This can either be that they have a beneficial interest or a connected person to them, has a beneficial interest. This is recorded in the minutes and they should leave the meeting and only rejoin when the matter has moved on.
3. **Separately and** in a different process, any beneficial interest to the member, solely must be advised to the Ethic's Registrar

Cllr Smith then requested the Meeting's Administrator go through the protocols for meetings and carry out a roll call.

Gráinne Tuomey, Meeting Administrator outlined the procedures for remote meeting participation, in line with the Council's adopted Standing Orders. Members were reminded of the need to have a quorum of 9 Members present at all times and an attendance roll call was held.

Senior Planner Joanna Kelly reminded members that it was prescribed in the Act what they should be considering, in line with proper planning and sustainable development and the effects on the environment of any changes must also be considered.

Joanna Kelly then referred Members to page 72 to commence meeting.

**Submission No. LCDP MA002 Submitted by: Dundalk Stadium Company**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 72 – 73

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Conor Keelan

**Seconded by:** Cllr. John Reilly

And submitted by email as follows:

- 1) *Re Material Amendment from Tourism & Leisure to Social & Community REF LCDP - MA002.*  
*The relevant lands are owned by Dundalk Stadium Company and are currently zoned Tourism & Leisure. A narrative of the lands is listed below together with how Social & Community pp.(4-16). is the preferred zoning to make better development of these lands.*
  - *The site is within the urban area, neither isolated nor a considerable distance from supporting services, abuts lands in residential use and offers a housing project for the ageing which is an urgent community requirement;*
  - *A retirement village is needed in Dundalk, will contribute to housing need of the aged, alleviate overcrowding in family homes, provide independent living, allow downsizing and release housing units;*
  - *Current zoning of Tourism and Leisure is of no value to Dundalk Stadium as it is surplus to requirements. The feasibility report outlines the attributes of the site;*

- *Considers that the Elected Members were given incorrect advice by an agency, may not have been informed in relation to site availability and requests the rezoning of lands as per the Draft Plan;*
- *Company engaged with the OPR (and advises copy of letter enclosed) wherein the company advised of matters pertaining to the site (note: letter not enclosed);*
- *Having regard to the letter to the OPR, this submission and the purpose of the objective, there are grounds for the Elected Members to revisit the decision to remove the zoning objective and to reverse the decision.*

There are also an additional 7no. points that fully explain the benefits of the proposal:

- *Historical relationship of stadium with Dundalk town, served by footpaths and 1.1km walk to shops;*
- *Scale of Ath Lethan development is beside the proposed Retirement Village: 200 houses in well maintained community. No public bus, but retirement village would add to critical mass;*
- *Relationship of proposed Retirement Village to existing amenities of Stadium: Restaurants and bars available as well as racetrack. There is a health and wellbeing facility alongside extensive parking and persons can avail of the inner racecourse for walks when not in use;*
- *Plan to fully refurbish Stables Block and include market, recreation centre, gym, medical suite etc. Employ best practice conservation with this as the centre piece and used for variety of uses as proposed.*
- *Existing full provision of sewer and water supply: Impact of village would be minimal on the capacity of the public services;*
- *Sensitive Design of proposed Retirement Village: 40 no. 1 and 2 bed single storey terraced houses centred on landscaped car parking, gardens and the refurbished Stables block.*
- *Offers independent living for ageing population within a likeminded community. It's an alternative to nursing homes and proposes to be net-zero carbon retirement village.*
- *The proposal is a fraction the size of the enormous housing development that was granted permission a number of years ago and that is now built that is at a much greater distance from an urban core than the proposed **Retirement Village**.*
- *To refuse the rezoning of the racecourse plot of land on such grounds can be challenged and smacks of double standards. The proposed development is sustainable in that it is fully supported by the extensive facilities of the Racecourse and all that it offers by way of amenities.*
- *Existing race company facilities that this development will avail of include:*
  - *full-time office staff to manage the complex*
  - *full-time grounds staff to maintain the complex*
  - *full-time security staff and 24-hour security monitoring*
  - *a 1.2 mile private road inside the racecourse (only used on 44 occasions per year, mostly Friday evenings, for racing) which can be used by residents for physical activity such as walking and cycling.*
- *The site at the racecourse is under-utilised as currently zoned Tourism and Leisure and the racecourse management want to give back a meaningful contribution to the local Dundalk community by proposing an **exemplar** Retirement Village.*

- **Chapter 4 of the Development Plan 2021-2027 - Social & Community (page 4-16)** states clearly what a **Retirement Village** should entail: "A 'retirement village' is a complex containing separate and independent homes for residents, that are intended to be predominantly or exclusively occupied by retired persons, who have entered into village contracts with the operator of the complex. The presumption for such retirement villages is that they be located within an urban setting so that services and facilities are accessible." Yet nowhere in the development plan 2021-2027 is there any such development proposed, other than the Race Course Retirement Village.

Joanna Kelly, Senior Planner sought clarification on the proposal in terms of the zoning and it was confirmed that the request was to change the zoning from Tourism and Leisure to Social and Community. Cllr Keelan was advised that there were constraints in introducing new zoning at this stage, as in the Draft Plan it was residential, and it was changed to tourism and leisure, it could not be changed to a Social and Community zoning but it could be reverted back to residential. Cllr Keelan advised that he didn't think residential was the correct zoning for the development, and given the constraints of the legislation **withdrew his proposal**.

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised that the only option if he wished to pursue a change was to reject the Material Alteration and revert back to the provisions of the draft plan i.e. zoning of residential with insertion of a spot objective.

Cllr Keelan indicated that he wished to pursue this option and this was

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Keelan

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Butterly

And a roll call vote held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna		1		
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie			1	
CORRIGAN, Edel				1
CUNNINGHAM, Tom		1		
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle				1
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse		1		

McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin		1		
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás		1		
SHERIDAN, John			1	
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin		1		
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>

And Cllr Keelans motion was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA038 Submitted by: Mr. Fred O'Hagan, Lisa O'Hagan Mernagh and Orlaith O'Hagan**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 74 – 75

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Sean Kelly

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Andrea McKeveitt

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

*'In relation to LCDP MA038 OHagans.*

*Specifically support the return of a small site (0.4 hectares) to residential zoning for the following reasons:*

*'Sample Site Specific FRA' demonstrates that two houses at this location will avoid significant risks of flooding and not exacerbate flooding elsewhere and would pass the Justification test for development management.*

*The landowner in question, as per his submission, is willing to allow an alternative equivalent parcel of land (0.4 hectares) on the same holding to be changed from A2 New Residential to Open Space to retain the quantum of both Open Space and A2 Residential at this location.*

*This zoning is requested so that immediate family members can build close to aging parents and provide care and family support structures.'*

Cllr Kelly also enclosed a copy of SFRA and submission by email for information which was distributed to members and planner in advance of the meeting.

Joanna Kelly, Senior Planner advised members that while there was a difference of professional opinion on justification tests, she strongly cautioned Members against zoning land on flood zones for residential use. She advised Councillors they run a risk of Ministerial Direction which puts smaller areas at risk of Direction.

A roll call vote was held on Cllr Kellys motion was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula			1	
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie		1		
CORRIGAN, Edel				1
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria		1		
HALL, Michelle			1	
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			

YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	20	5	2	2

And the motion was agreed.

The Senior Planner advised that it was just brought to her attention that part of lands were previously zoned as Strategic Reserve in the Draft Plan and only part as Residential so all the lands could not revert to residential, only those previously identified in Draft Plan.

Following some discussion and examination of map it was agreed to defer this item until after the break

**Submission No. LCDP MA03 Submitted by: Stephen Ward Consulting on behalf of Brian HeartyCE**

REPORT PAGE no. 75 - 77

To accept the CE recommendation

Motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following amendment was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Conor Keelan

**Seconded by:** Cllr. John Reilly

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

*The relevant lands (0.7 ha) are immediately south of the estate Arran Court accessed from the R132. The owner seeks re-instatement of the site's lands to A2 – New Residential.*

*The site is an infill site with available infrastructure and direct frontage onto the R132 and would best suit lower density housing, approximately. It fits with conditions of the Draft Plan which encourages infill opportunities and better use of underutilised lands attributes which are all found on this site.*

Cllr Keelan stated that these lands were infill, were owned for over 100 years by the family.

Cllr John Reilly advised these were 2 brothers both in their 70s, land is under 0.7ha, as a development of under 4 houses it was a small development.

A roll call vote was held as follows on the proposal of Cllr Keelan:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula		1		
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel				1
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria			1	

HALL, Michelle		1		
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin				1
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>

And the proposal was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA032 Submitted by: Doherty Developments Ltd.**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 77 - 79

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly, Senior Planner, advised Members on the reasons for the CE recommendation. A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			

HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin				1
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin				1
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>

And the CE Recommendation was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA011 Submitted by: Sean Conyard**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 79 - 80

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as there was no Material Alteration suggested there was therefore no change to the draft Plan and suggested they move on.

**Submission No. LCDP MA009 Submitted by: Frank and Ronan McArdle**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 80 - 82

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as they had already voted on these lands already and suggested they move on.

**Submission No. LCDP MA040 Submitted by: O'Hare McGovern Ltd.**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 82 - 84

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as they had already voted on these lands under the OPR submission and suggested they move on.

**Submission No. LCDP MA046 Submitted by: Mr. Freddie Johnston**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 85 - 86

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as they had already voted on these lands under the OPR submission and suggested they move on.

Submission No. LCDP MA064 Submitted by: Declan Flood

CE REPORT PAGE no. 87

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Emma Coffey

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Kevin Meenan

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

*The applicant requests a change from c1 mixed use to A2 new residential . The applicants submission adheres to OPR themes: compact growth from the inside out, Transport and accessibility within walking distance to town centre and 1km to train station and road networks.*

*The current zoning is unsuitable and site has been vacant for years and is too small for commercial development. The site can only be accessed through Brickfield close an existing row of residential houses.*

A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			

POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	26	2	0	1

And the proposal was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA029 Submitted by: Breen Holdings**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 88 - 90

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Liam Reilly

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Kevin Meenan

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

*The subject lands can support the achievement of EMRA RSES objective. The particular area has been highlighted for growth.*

*Government funding has also been provided to upgrade Mount Avenue*

*The supply of additional housing will in time help alleviate the housing need in the town*

*The submission also has additional details on page 88*

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner flagged that there was circa 94 acres in this land and went against OPR recommendations regarding core strategy.

The Chief Executive cautioned that they were talking about a very substantial number of houses, that it could add 1400/1500 houses into Dundalk and this would throw the Core Strategy out the window.

Joanna Kelly advised that Ministerial Direction would likely direct what lands are to be included for development.

A roll call vote was held on Cllr Reilly's motion as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		

BUTTERLY, Paula		1		
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie		1		
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria		1		
HALL, Michelle		1		
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy			1	
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores		1		
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John		1		
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John		1		
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim		1		
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve		1		
<b>Totals</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>

And Cllr Reilly's motion was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA012 Submitted by: Paul Monahan**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 90 - 92

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following amendment was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. John Reilly

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Andrea McKeivitt

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

*Proposal on support of submission LCDP MA012 at Carrick Road Dundalk.*

*1. If this land is zoned A2 New residential phase 1 the developer is in a position to apply for planning immediately .*

*2. This Development is providing starter homes for first time buyers , which the Market has a deficit*

*3. The services that are laid on and site is ready to go. The lands are to the west of the Lis Na Dara estate which can only serve as an infill development to that area.*

*4. The close proximity to the railway station which is only 10 minutes walk away, has profound advantages for the commuters*

A roll call vote was held on Cllr. Reilly's proposal as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula			1	
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma			1	
CONLON, Bernie		1		
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria		1		
HALL, Michelle		1		
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan		1		
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			

YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>

And the proposal was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA065 Submitted by: Mr. Pat McArdle**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 93

To accept the CE recommendation

Cllr Emma Coffey declared a conflict and left the meeting for this and next two submissions as she may be related to a connected person who may have a beneficial interest

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Conor Keelan

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Kevin Meenan

Cllr Keelan stated that the site was currently a defunct residential site and proposed that the site is zoned residential as provided for in the draft plan so that a single site can be built in the area.

A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne			1	
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma				1
CONLON, Bernie			1	
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle			1	
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy			1	
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			

SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim			1	
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	20	2	5	2

And Cllr Keelan's proposal was agreed.

Submission No. LCDP MA044 Submitted by: B. N & B. Carroll

Submission No. LCDP MA045 Submitted by: B. N & B. Carroll

CE REPORT PAGE no. 94 - 97

CE REPORT PAGE no. 98 - 100

To accept the CE recommendations

Cllr Emma Coffey still absent due to possible conflict

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members that the next two submissions related to the same parcel of land and suggested they take them together and vote on the CE recommendation of no Change.

Motions to reject the CE recommendation on MA044 and on MA045 vote on the following alterations was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Maria Doyle

**Seconded by:** Cllr. John Reilly

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

**LCDP MA044**

*In relation to this material alteration, I will be proposing not to accept the Chief Executive's recommendation. I will be asking for this site to revert to Residential A2 – Phase 1.*

*While I accept the rationale behind the introduction of phasing of residential lands, I am of the view that it is more appropriate to put this site in an early phase that what has been recommended by the CE.*

*It is an infill site located close to Blackrock village centre and as such, represents compact growth. It is a serviced site with a road.*

*Planning permission had previously been granted in this site (now expired) and I believe that the owners of the site are committed to delivering houses as soon as possible, should the zoning be amended.*

**LCDP MA0045**

*With regard to this material alteration, I will be proposing not to accept the Chief Executive's recommendation and instead to zone the entire site A2 New Residential.*

*This site had been previously granted planning permission and any potential flood risks could be dealt with as part of the SSFRA.*

*The recent progress with the CFRAM project in Dundalk and Blackrock should also help mitigate any future flood risks. The site already has a foul sewer and surface water drainage system.*

On questioning Cllr Doyle confirmed that she required the entire site to be retained as A2 residential Ph1.

The CE suggested that both be taken as one vote. There were no objections to this.

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised that zoning flood zone B doesn't pass justification test and an extension of duration application (12/241) of planning reference 07/372 was previously refused there for that reason.

Cllr Yore asked if these lands were at Springfield. Cllr Doyle confirmed.

Cllr Hall asked about the number of units the site would bring and that there were a lot of lands zoned in Dundalk in last few submissions and asked if this would affect other areas. Members were again strongly cautioned that the number of sites now zoned went above core strategy and against the OPR recommendations. The Senior Planner advised that the Executive tried to defend the core strategy. We had and introduced phasing, but now it was grossly overzoned, and there were minimal if any A3 lands left.

Cllr Doyle said that this would only involve 70 houses.

The Chief Executive advised that she thought nearly 3000 houses and counting had been added in Dundalk area and each addition adds up.

Cllr Sharkey asked if this site had been part zoned for a school previously which included 40 houses, and was advised that this was not remembered.

Cllr Doyle asked if green spaces in estates were included in the figures for core strategy and was advised by the Senior Planner that the figures are based on the quantum of lands that could yield new houses.

A roll call vote was held on Cllr. Doyle's proposals as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna		1		
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma				1
CONLON, Bernie		1		
CORRIGAN, Edel		1		
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle		1		
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse		1		
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy		1		
MEENAN, Kevin		1		

MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan		1		
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam			1	
SHARKEY, Tomás		1		
SHERIDAN, John		1		
SMITH, Pio		1		
TENANTY, Jim		1		
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin		1		
YORE, Maeve		1		
<b>Totals</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>

And Cllr Doyles proposal was rejected.

The Cathaoirleach confirmed that the Chief Executive Recommendations stand.

***The Cathaoirleach requested a 15 minute tea break and advised Members the next item would be to hear Cllr. Kelly's reasons on MA038.***

***Following the tea break the meeting resumed with:***

Submission No. LCDP MA038 Submitted by: Mr. Fred O'Hagan, Lisa O'Hagan Mernagh and Orlaith O'Hagan

CE REPORT PAGE no. 74 – 75

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Sean Kelly

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Andrea McKeivitt

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

Reject the Material Alteration that went on display and revert to the Draft Plan allocation. (Two thirds Strategic reserve and one third residential).

Reasons as set out earlier

*'In relation to LCDP MA038 OHagans.*

*Specifically support the return of a small site (0.4 hectares) to residential zoning for the following reasons:*

*'Sample Site Specific FRA' demonstrates that two houses at this location will avoid significant risks of flooding and not exacerbate flooding elsewhere and would pass the Justification test for development management.*

*The landowner in question, as per his submission, is willing to allow an alternative equivalent parcel of land (0.4 hectares) on the same holding to be changed from A2 New Residential to Open Space to retain the quantum of both Open Space and A2 Residential at this location.*

*This zoning is requested so that immediate family members can build close to aging parents and provide care and family support structures.'*

Clarification was sought on the proposal. Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised that the submission received related only to the portion of the site that framed a material alteration. She cautioned members that if they reject the making of a material alteration in its entirety they need to be careful if changing all, that they are rejecting the entire material alteration that you voted for and put on public display.

The map of the draft plan and material alteration was displayed and discussed.

Joanna Kelly advised that zoning does not guarantee planning permission.

A roll call vote was held as follows

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula			1	
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie		1		
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria		1		
HALL, Michelle			1	
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			

REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás				1
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>

And Cllr. Kelly's proposal was agreed.

Submission No. LCDP MA008 Submitted by: Urban Life Limited

CE REPORT PAGE no. 101 - 103

To accept the CE recommendation

Cllr Emma Coffey was readmitted to the meeting

Cllr Bernie Conlon declared a possible conflict of interest connected with a person who may have an interest.

Joanna Kelly, Senior Planner, advised Members on the reasons for the CE recommendation. A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie				1
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			

MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás				1
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

And the Chief Executive Recommendation was agreed.

Submission No. LCDP MA007 Submitted by: Shannon Homes (Blackrock) Ltd

CE REPORT PAGE no. 103 - 105

To accept the CE recommendation

Cllr Bernie Conlon was readmitted to the meeting

Cllr James Byrne declared a connected person may have a beneficial interest and left the meeting

Joanna Kelly, Senior Planner, advised Members on the reasons for the CE recommendation. A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James				1
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria			1	
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			

McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás				1
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve		1		
<b>Totals</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>

And the Chief Executive Recommendation was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA010 Submitted by: McGahon Partnership**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 106 - 108

To accept the CE recommendation

Cllr James Byrne was readmitted to the meeting

Joanna Kelly, Senior Planner, advised Members on the reasons for the CE recommendation. A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria				1
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			

Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás				1
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>

And the Chief Executive Recommendation was agreed.

#### SECTION 4 (II)

##### ARDEE

Submission No. LCDP MA016 Submitted by: Patrick Reilly, Brendan Roe, Seamus Roe and Tara Mullarkey

CE REPORT PAGE no. 110 - 112

To accept the CE recommendation

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Dolores Minogue

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Paula Butterly

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

*Opposes: CE recommendation*

*Proposed: to support Material Reason: Land zone residential for 20 years (2002) Master Plan in place since 2008 Any issue with flooding can be dealt with through the planning procedure.*

Cllr Minogue advised that the OPW only said that there was an indication that it may be prone to flooding, there was local knowledge of no flooding on lands, and it should be left to planning locally to test and determine. The Executive asked Cllr Minogue to clarify which recommendations she was supporting. Cllr Minogue supported the CE recommendation on ARD05 but rejected it on ARD06. She confirmed that she wished ARD06 to be zoned A2 residential.

The Chief Executive asked if she wished to ignore flood maps and zone the entire site as residential.

Joanna Kelly advised that a Strategic Environmental Assessment has to be done on the Plan, that if you build on flood zones they may displace waters and cause floods elsewhere. She further confirmed that Cllr Minogue was proposing zoning on lands where there is a flood risk and that the national guidelines do not permit vulnerable development on flood zones A or B.

Reasons: "OPR on a broad assessment says 'May be prone to flooding', local knowledge is that it has never flooded, this can be dealt with at planning time."

Cllr Sheridan disagreed with Cllr Minogue and commented on the size of the mapped flood zone area.

A roll call vote was called on Cllr Minogues proposal as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James		1		
BYRNE, Joanna		1		
CALLAN, Kevin				1
COFFEY, Emma		1		
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel		1		
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle		1		
KEELAN, Conor		1		
KELLY, Sean		1		
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse		1		
McKEVITT, Andrea		1		
McQUILLAN, Paddy			1	
MEENAN, Kevin		1		
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan		1		
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam		1		
SHARKEY, Tomás				1
SHERIDAN, John		1		
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin		1		

YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>

And Cllr Minogues Proposal was rejected.  
CE recommendation is upheld.

**Submission No. LCDP MA031 Submitted by: Forevergreen Construction Ltd.**

**Submission No. LCDP MA037 Submitted by: Mr. P. Callaghan**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 113 - 115

CE REPORT PAGE no. 116 - 118

To accept the CE recommendations

Joanna Kelly advised that submissions MA031 and MA037 refers to the same lands and asked that they be taken together.

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following alteration was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Dolores Minogue

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Paula Butterly

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

*Submission LCDP MA037*

*In original Draft these lands were zoned A2 New residential , it was stated by CE (page 347 Manger Report*

*The Development of the subject lands which surrounded by existing residential and employment generating activities would facilitate INFILL DEVELOPMENT at this location and would support the implementation of the principles of sequential compact and sustainable growth*

*Upon reflection the recommendation to change the phasing on these lands is not good practice. The land in question will greatly benefit ardee if they are developed and as the CE stated this will be done in a sequential, compact way.*

Cllr Minogue verbally proposed that the lands be proposed A2 residential as they were originally in the Draft Plan.

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria	1			

HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra			1	
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>

And the proposal was agreed.

Submission No. LCDP MA053 Submitted by: Moffett Property Management Ardee Ltd.

CE REPORT PAGE no. 119 - 120

To accept the CE recommendations

Cllr Butterly spoke to reject the CE recommendation to state that these lands should revert back to the employment status it previously had. She confirmed that she was leaving the proposal to Dolores.

A motion to reject the CE recommendation and vote on the following amendment was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr. Dolores Minogue

**Seconded by:** Cllr. Paula Butterly

And submitted by email prior to the meeting and verbally confirmed at meeting as follows:

*The lands are not on the edge of the site but are an intergal part of the site and they have planning, This site is crucial to the development of Ardee . Doing this Change at this time will serve no useful purpose. It would in fact be detrimental and cause uncertainty to potential clients and much needed employment .*

Cllr Minogue stated that the lands were not on the periphery but were central, development of lands was critical to the development of Ardee and that they should revert back to General Employment as justification test does not apply to employment land only residential.

A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin				1
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra		1		
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>

And Cllr Minogues proposal was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA020 Submitted by: Niall Doherty**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 121

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as there was no Material Alteration suggested there was therefore no change to the draft Plan.

**DUNLEER**

Submission No. LCDP MA023 Submitted by: Bellscape Ltd.

CE REPORT PAGE no. 123 - 124

To accept the CE recommendation

The CE clarified that the proposal on page 123 and 124 on lands on the way out of Dunleer near the railway line was to stay with zoning put on them by members at planning stage to stay as A2 residential. A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor			1	
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás				1
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			

YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	25	0	1	3

And the Chief Executive Recommendation was agreed.

Submission No. LCDP MA024 Submitted by: Bellscape Ltd.

CE REPORT PAGE no. 125 - 126

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised on the reasons for the Chief Executive Recommendation, and that there was extant planning permission granted by An Bord Pleanala just that week.

A roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie			1	
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom				1
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy			1	
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan	1			
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim			1	
TULLY, Eileen				1

WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve			1	
<b>Totals</b>	23	0	4	2

And the Chief Executive Recommendation was agreed.

#### SANDPIT

Submission No. LCDP MA022 Submitted by: Lorrac Developments Ltd, Thomas Meegan, Rita Meegan, Imelda McGuinness and Clare McGovern  
CE REPORT PAGE no. 128 -129  
To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as this was dealt with under OPR Submission and suggested if Members were happy they would move on. There were no objectors.

#### Section 4 (iii) – RPS Submissions

Submission No. LCDP MA042 Submitted by: Urban Life (GSD) Limited  
CE REPORT PAGE no. 131 - 132  
To accept the CE recommendation

Cllr Bernie Conlon declared a conflict and left the meeting.

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner cautioned Members that the Act included specific elements on why structures are being protected, if going against the CE recommendation Members should make reference to guidelines and to the Architects report that has been submitted.

CE advised she was making very strong recommendation not to include this as a protected structure under S28 guideline provisions. Minutes of the previous meeting do not meet requirements of the S28 guidelines. Members were advised they would have to properly set out reasons in strict accordance with S28 guidelines.

Joanna Kelly set out the headings which need to be met and referring to a report from own professional architect which advised not to accept this as a protected structure, strongly recommended that Members accept the recommendation. Members were advised if going against this they had to accept that there may be a legal challenge.

Cllr Joanna Byrne proposed to reject the CE recommendation of no change as per last meeting. She advised Trinity Gardens was one of the first Housing Estates, the entrance was unmatched anywhere else in the town and it was one of the busiest housing estates in the town. Citing a unique rendered concrete and cast iron railing running 75m both sides of the entrance to the estate, and stating that S28 was not mentioned previously she asked the Executive to work with Members to provide wording for reason to reject the recommendation.

Cllr Pio Smith seconded Cllr Joanna Byrne's recommendation.

He stated that he believed the structure should be included that it was of social importance, and meant a lot to people in the area and was of historical significance. The walls were 90 years built.

Cllr Mac Raghnaill suggested that it was a fine wall and in terms of urban setting unique. It was pre-war and the type of Iron is not made anymore. Scientifically – the steel contained in the rail is not made anymore. Drogheda has a history of cement production going back pre 1938. The wall is a statement of where we wanted to go in the new state. It is very important and a matter of pride to residents that the wall stands. On the North wall to East of the sign there is a black stain which is the site of a 1960s protest sign which used industrial glue which is no longer manufactured the sign is well remembered by locals and of social significance. Culturally there are important aspects to the wall, maybe 30 -40 examples of this type of wall in Ireland and he felt it met several of the criteria of S28 culturally and scientifically.

Cllr McQuillan supported the inclusion of the wall as a protected structure referring to it as an urban oasis in the centre of town, an iconic sign and part of social and cultural landscape and social fabric of the town.

Cllr Hall advised that members would regret if they did not make the decision to include the wall in the long term that in 10 years time it would be the centenary.

Cllr Callan referred to his predecessors who built the wall and asked what they would think if they could see them now with threats of a court case.

Cllr James Byrne said there was enough destruction of heritage over the years and it was time to say no.

A roll call vote was held as follows on the proposal to reject the CE recommendation and include retain as a Protected Structure.

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie				1
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			

MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan				1
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	26	0	0	3

And the CE Recommendation was rejected and the proposal to include Trinity Garden's wall, railings and name plate as a protected structure was agreed by Members.

Cllr Bernie Conlon rejoined the meeting.

**Submission No. LCDP MA043 Submitted by: Urban Life (GSD) Limited**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 133 - 134

To accept the CE recommendation

As this had just been dealt with under MA042, Members agreed to move on.

**Section 4 (iv) – General Submissions**

**Submission No. LCDP MA006 Submitted by: Declan Byrne**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 136

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as there was no Material Alteration suggested there was therefore no change to the draft Plan.

**Submission No. LCDP MA044 Submitted by: B. N & B. Carroll**

**Submission No. LCDP MA045 Submitted by: B. N & B. Carroll**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 94 - 97

CE REPORT PAGE no. 98 - 100

Referring to item that was previously voted on in the meeting, Cllr Pearse McGeough requested a re-vote on BN & B Carroll submissions. The Cathaoirleach clarified if Cllr McGeough just wanted details of result of vote and was advised that a revote was wanted. The CE advised that the vote was taken and done. The Cathaoirleach advised that in line with standing orders the only way we could do a revote is if he believed that the majority of members didn't understand what they were voting for. He was of the

opinion that issues were clearly understood – 26 people were present at time, at no stage did people say they were confused.

The Cathaoirleach requested and took a five minute recess to check the standing orders.

Cllr Sharkey said he confused himself at the meeting and it was possible he spread confusion regarding his question relating to the school site and 40 houses.

Cllr Corrigan advised she was confused.

Following some discussion on the matter, Cllr Callan advised that his understanding of standing orders was the same as the Cathaoirleach and in his view the vote was valid and he proposed the meeting move on. This was seconded by Cllr Mac Raghnaill. Further discussion ensued and Cllr Jim Tenanty again proposed that we move on which was seconded by Cllr Callan.

Submission No.'s LCDP MA013, MA015, MA017, MA018, MA019, MA025, MA026, MA027, MA028, MA030, MA033, MA034, MA035, MA036, MA047, MA048, MA049, MA050, MA051, MA052, MA059, MA060, MA062, MA066

CE REPORT PAGE no. 137 -138

To accept the CE recommendation

Cllr Minogue proposed that Dunleer Railway Station be mentioned in name as part of the Plan.

**Seconded by:** Cllr Callan

Cllr Butler asked was the draft Plan not broader and more all encompassing as specific locations were not mentioned.

The Chief Executive advised that at one stage Members had suggested 5 new railway stations in the Plan and the amended wording was to make the policy more general.

Members were shown the text on screen and advised by the Senior Planner that the wording was all encompassing, gives options and flexibility if new locations come on stream.

Cllr Sheridan advised that the bigger issue is that it is very flexible, all encompassing text. Wider text is better and proposed that Members go with existing text.

This was seconded by Cllr Butler.

The Cathaoirleach advised that a vote had to be taken on the amendment and clarification was given that this was on Cllr Sheridan's proposal to accept the recommendation of the Chief Executive and a roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula		1		
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna		1		
CALLAN, Kevin		1		
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie		1		
CORRIGAN, Edel				1

CUNNINGHAM, Tom		1		
DOYLE, Maria		1		
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse		1		
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy		1		
MEENAN, Kevin		1		
MINOGUE, Dolores		1		
POWER, Declan				1
REILLY, John		1		
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás		1		
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim			1	
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin		1		
YORE, Maeve		1		
<b>Totals</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>

And Cllr Sheridan's proposal was rejected.

Cllr Minogue was asked to clarify her initial proposal and following some discussion it was clarified that the proposal was to:

'Reject the Material amendment that went through and revert back to the Draft Plan but include reference to NTA:

To secure, in co-operation with Iarnród Éireann, and the National Transport Authority, improved rail services for the mid and south Louth areas and in particular to seek to examine the feasibility of re-opening the rail station in Dunleer and providing additional new rail stations for south Dundalk, north Drogheda, and the mid-Louth area.'

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			

CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan				1
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

And the Cllr Minogues proposal was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA041 Submitted by: Bernice Mee**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 139 - 140

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as there was no Material Alteration suggested there was therefore no change to the draft Plan.

**Submission No. LCDP MA055 Submitted by: RWE Renewables Ireland Ltd**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 139 - 140

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members on the reason for the Chief Executive Recommendation and a roll call vote was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne	1			
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James	1			
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			
HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea	1			
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan				1
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pío	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

And the Chief Executive Recommendation was agreed.

**Submission No. LCDP MA067 Submitted by: Social Enterprise Republic of Ireland (SERI)**

CE REPORT PAGE no. 141

To accept the CE recommendation

Joanna Kelly Senior Planner advised Members as there was no Material Alteration suggested it cannot be considered.

As this was the last submission Joanna Kelly advised that the mapping changes would be made, there may be typos and number changes as the report needs to be formatted. She highlighted the significant number of zoning changes, that the Core Strategy figures in the actual document needs to be updated to reflect changes so what they see in the report is now not accurate.

The Cathaoirleach thanked Members for their patience during the process and advised of a five minute break for the Chief Executive to prepare a conclusion for end vote. Members congratulated both Cathaoirleach, the Chief Executive and Council Staff for all their hard work.

The Chief Executive thanked the Cathaoirleach, Members and staff for their time and on their first time doing a whole county Development Plan. She advised there was a legal requirement to carry out a number of appropriate assessments at various stages of the Plan to ensure there isn't any adverse impact on European Sites. She advised in relation to Material Alterations tonight our consultant present confirmed that none of decisions made were new and all had already been considered in the Appropriate Assessment and there was no further requirement for material assessment. The Chief Executive confirmed she has signed her determination that this is so and there is no impact on European sites.

She asked Joanna Kelly Senior Planner to speak.

Joanna Kelly requesting Members to adopt the formal making of the Development Plan asked members to vote to accept the following:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 12(10) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), the members agree, by resolution, to make the Plan, as recommended by the Chief Executive and as further modified by way of motions and resolutions at the Council Meetings on Thursday, 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2021, Tuesday 28<sup>th</sup> September 2021 and Thursday 30<sup>th</sup> September 2021

This was:

**Proposed by:** Cllr Maeve Yore

**Seconded by:** Cllr Kevin Callan

And a roll call vote to adopt the County Development Plan was held as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
BUTLER, Marianne		1		
BUTTERLY, Paula	1			
BYRNE, James		1		
BYRNE, Joanna	1			
CALLAN, Kevin	1			
COFFEY, Emma	1			
CONLON, Bernie	1			
CORRIGAN, Edel	1			
CUNNINGHAM, Tom	1			
DOYLE, Maria	1			

HALL, Michelle	1			
KEELAN, Conor	1			
KELLY, Sean	1			
Mac RAGHNAILL, Fiachra	1			
McGEOUGH, Pearse	1			
McKEVITT, Andrea		1		
McQUILLAN, Paddy	1			
MEENAN, Kevin	1			
MINOGUE, Dolores	1			
POWER, Declan				1
REILLY, John	1			
REILLY, Liam	1			
SHARKEY, Tomás	1			
SHERIDAN, John	1			
SMITH, Pio	1			
TENANTY, Jim	1			
TULLY, Eileen				1
WATTERS, Antoin	1			
YORE, Maeve	1			
<b>Totals</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

And the Members agreed by resolution to adopt the Plan.

Meeting concluded at 9.27pm.

Signed:   
 LEAS CATHAOIRLEACH

Date: 18/10/2021

